



Attorney Docket No. 71417/49138

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADE MARK OFFICE

APPLICANT: Kearnoy et al.

Art Unit: 1636

U.S.S.N: 09/961,128

Extrainer: Celine Qian

FILED: September 21, 2001

FOR: ENDOTHELIAL CELL MITOGEN BIOASSAY

CERTIFICATE OF EXPRESS MAILI

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" service under 37 CFR 1.10 in an invelope addressed to: Mail Stop: Amendment, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22: 13-1450 and designated by the "Express Mail" mailing label No. EV 492343022

[15] In June 28, 2005.

Mail Stop: Amendment Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

DECLARATION OF MARIANNE KEARNEY PURE JANT TO 37 CFR 1.132

I, Marianne Kearney, declare as follows:

I am an inventor of the present invention, which is the subject of the above-identified application. Since 2003, I have been a Cardiovasco ar Regulatory Liaisor/Project Manager at Caritas St. Elizabeth's Medical Center of Boston, Inc. ("Caritas"), which holds the rights to the above-identified application. I am also a min abort of the Institutional Biosafety Committee (IBC). Prior to accepting my current in sition, I had previously been a Cardiovascular Research Assistant/Laboratory Supervisor for thirteen years, during which time I also served as a Coordinator for two multi-center rand mized clinical trials at the Core Pathology Laboratory, where I trained and supervised site coordinators at over fifty



BEST AVAILABLE COPY

(50) participating hospitals. I also designed and coordinated primitical studies for IND submissions to the Food & Drug Administration (FDA). I was responsible for setting up a Human Gene Therapy Laboratory, where I prepared plasmid DNA for administration to patients enrolled in FDA approved gene therapy trials. I was a si responsible for setting up a Human Cellular Therapy Laboratory utilized for preparation in autologous cellular products for administration to patients enrolled in FDA-approve I cellular therapy trials. I hold a Bachelor of Arts degree in Biology from Rhode Island (in the light of the light

2. The subject application discloses among other tuings and claims a method for testing a test plasmid containing a gene encoding for an endeth clial cell mitogen for the ability to produce a biologically active endothelial cell mitogen protein, wherein endothelial cells demonstrate enhanced survival in a cell survival assay in response to conditioned media from a transfection host cell line transfected with the cut plasmid in comparison to conditioned media from a transfection host cell line transfected with a control plasmid, the method comprising (a) transiently transfecting a transfection host cell line with a test plasmid containing a gene encoding for an endothelial cell raitogen; (b) incubating test sample endothelial cells with conditioned media from the transfected transfected transfection host cell line; (c) determining the ability of the test sample or dothelial cells to reduce MTS to formaxan; and (d) determining the level of cell survival of the test sample endothelial cells incubated with conditioned media from the transfection host cell line transfected with

the test plasmid containing a gene encoding for an endothelial ce'l mitogen as compared to control sample endothelial cells incubated with conditioned me lia from the transfection host cell line transfected with a control plasmid; wherein the level of cell survival of both the test sample and control sample endothelial cells is determined by the ability of the endothelial cells to reduce MTS to formazan; and wherein the level of cell survival of the test sample endothelial cells indicates that the test plasmid produces a biologically active endothelial cell mitogen.

The subject application also discloses among o'h x things and claims a 3. method for evaluating the ability of a first plasmid DNA construct containing a gene encoding for an endothelial cell mitogen to produce a bioactive endothelial cell mitogen protein as compared to the ability of a second plasmid DNA construct containing a gene encoding for an endothelial cell mitogen to produce a bloactive endothelial cell mitogen protein, wherein endothelial cells demonstrate enhanced survival in a cell survival assay in response to conditioned media from a transfection host cell line transfected with the first plasmid in comparison to a transfection host cell line transfected with the second plasmid, the method comprising (a) transiently transfecting a first samp e of a transfection host cell line with the first plasmid DNA construct containing a gene or coding for an endothelial cell mitogen; (b) incubating endothelial cells with conditioned mx is from the transiently transfected transfection host cell line of step a; (c) transiently transfecting a second sample of the transfection host cell line with the second plasmid DNA construct containing a gene encoding for an endothelial cell mitogen; (d) incubating end staelial cells with conditioned media from the transiently transfected transfection host cell is to of step c; (e) determining the ability of the endothelial cells transfected with the first plasmid to reduce MTS to formazan in comparison with the ability of the endothelial ce'ls transfected with the second plasmid to reduce MTS to formazan; and (f) determining the evel of cell survival of the endothelial cells of step b incubated with conditioned media, rom the transfection host cell line transfected with the first plasmid containing a gene enco ling for an endothelial cell

mitogen as compared to the endothelial cells of step d incubated with conditioned media from the transfection host cell line transfected with the second plusmid containing a gene encoding for an endothelial cell mitogen; wherein the level of cell survival of the endothelial cells is determined by the ability of the endothelial cells incubated with conditioned media from the first sample transfected with the first plasmid to reduce MTS to formazan in comparison with the ability of the endothelial cells incubated vi h conditioned media from the second sample transfected with the second plasmid to reduce MTS to formazan and wherein the levels of cell survival of the endothelial cells indical ellat the first plasmid produces an active endothelial cell mitogen.

- 4. Traditionally, a cell may be stably or transiently ransfected. A transfected cell is a cell into which cloned genetic material, usually an into tious viral or other plasmid or cosmid DNA, has been introduced by infection. Exposed 6.2 Is take up the foreign DNA and transport it to the nucleus, where it can be transcribed. It this instance, the cells are transiently transfected. This method of transfecting cells result in cells that are typically mixed populations of cells, including some cells that are not transfected. In contrast, stable transfection techniques select only for the transfected cells. As a result, the foreign DNA is passed to the progeny cells during cell division, resulting in a tably transfected cell line. See, e.g., Cooper, G.M., The Cell: A Molecular Approach, (A M Press (Washington, D.C.)/Sinauer Associates (Sunderland, MA), 1997), p. 122. A though the cells usually produce a higher level of foreign protein, transient transfection is used in this case, to mimic the anticipated in vivo effect of transfection
- 5. The current invention addresses these concern; and many other issues as well. The present invention provides, among other things, in sthods of rapidly and efficiently testing and evaluating DNA plasmids utilizing transfection to produce the foreign protein.

- one example of a cell mitogenic assay is that of using neorporation of ³H-thymidine during the cell cycle as a means of measuring cell proliferation. Alternatively, one example of a cell viability assay is to measure cell survival by tetrazolium (MTS)/formazan assay.
- 7. The current invention utilizes analysis of cell visit ility as a tool to confirm sufficient protein production by the gene construct, because the proposed gene product (VEGF proteins) is known to act as a survival factor (increasing cell viability) for specific cell types such as Human Umbillical Vein Endothelial Cells.
- 8. I have reviewed the Patent Office Action ("Office Action") dated December 28, 2004, issued in connection with the subject application. As I understand the Office Action, the Patent Examiner has rejected certain claims of the application in view of documents that include the following: Sugihara et al. (J. Biol. Them. 273 (5): 3033-3038 (1998) ("Sugihara")); Buttke et al. (J. Immunol. Meth. 157: 23. -240 (1993) ("Buttke")); and Breier et al. (Devel. 114: 521-532 (1992) ("Breier")).
 - 9. I disagree with these claim rejections.
- transfection is sufficient to be used in an assay for testing and evaluating a plasmid DNA construct for its ability to produce a bioactive endothelial cell mitogen protein as determined by the ability of the endothelial cells to reduce MTS to formatian as an indicator of cell survival. The specification of the current patent application describes examples of comparing a test plasmid with a control and also comparing coultiple plasmids.
 - 11. This result is completely unexpected.

- of ³H-thymidine during the cell cycle as a means of measuring of ll proliferation. In contrast, the present invention provides a method for testing the survival of cells, that is, their ability to overcome cell death, as measured by a cell viability assay. These traits are quite different, because viable cells are not necessarily undergoing mitosis. Sug that does not teach or suggest measuring of cell survival at all and does not teach or a great the desirability of doing so.
- distinguishes between the use of the ³H-thymidine assay to measure cell proliferation and the use of the MTS/formazan assay to measure cell viability. It or over, Buttke repeatedly emphasizes the use of both assays to distinguish between cell proliferation and cell viability using the FDC-P1 cell line. While Buttke provides instances in which cell proliferation and viability coincide, Buttke also provides an example in which all proliferation ceases while cell viability continues.
- transfection of expression vector comprising VEGF cDNA to Cos-1 cells can be collected and assayed for mitogenic activity on bovine aortic endothelial cells, but this is not a description of cell survival. Breier equates "mitogenic effect" with stimulating the proliferation of endothelial cells. Breier's assay is merely the counting of cells in a Coulter counter to measure proliferation of endothelial cells not the MTS/formazan assay of the present invention.
- 15. Additionally, these references would not have been combined by those of ordinary skill in the art.

- The cell proliferation assay of Sugihara and the cell viability assay of Buttke 16. are measuring two different parameters. There is no motivation for one of skill in the art to use the stable transfection technique and cell proliferation assay (f Sugihara to perform the transient transfection and cell viability assay of the present invention. The cell proliferation assay described in Sugihara does not necessarily provide a measurement of cell viability as provided according to the present invention or as provided in Eu tke. Moreover, there is no suggestion in Sugihara that measurement of cell viability, as one osed to cell proliferation, would be desirable. Likewise, there is no suggestion in Buttke that measurement of cell proliferation would necessarily be interchangeable with measurement of cell viability. While Bullke provides instances in which cell proliferation and viability coincide, Buttke also provides an example, described above, in which cell prolife ration ceases while cell viability continues. Therefore, Buttke teaches away from Sugil ara by emphasizing a marked preserence for the need for both tests as a means of massuring and comparing two different cell parameters, including an example in which the reaults of the two assays differed precisely because they were measuring two different parameters. As a result, any technical advantages of the MTS/formazan assay over the 3H-1 symidine assay are essentially irrelevant, because the assays are measuring two different ce . parameters.
- counter to count cells as a means of measuring cell proliferation. In contrast, the present invention provides a method for testing the survival of cells, i rat is, their ability to overcome cell death, as measured by a cell viability assay. Breier never distinguishes between cells at different stages of mitosis, but simply counts the number of cells, which cannot distinguish between the two parameters of cell viability and cell proliferation, whereas Buttke uses the lithymidine assay, rather than the Coultor counter, to measure cell proliferation. Similar to Sugihara, therefore, Buttke teaches away from Breier by erap hasizing a marked preference for the need for measuring and comparing two different cell parameters. The use of COS-1 cells in the Breier assay is irrelevant, because the parameters being measured are different.

- 18. In relation to the present invention, therefore, the present invention is completely unexpected.
- 19. I hereby declare that all statements made herein at my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are unlieved to be true, and further that these statements are made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application on any patent issued thereon.

Date: June 28, 2005

BOS2_497137.1

COPY